

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,913	07/28/2003	Young-Jun Kwon	P69011US0	3628
43569 7590 04/04/2007 MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			REDDING, DAVID A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	3 MONTHS 04/04/2007 PAPER		PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/627,913	KWON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David A. Redding	1744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,15 and 16 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-14 in the reply filed on 12/15/06 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,290,302 ('302).

Figures 10-13 illustrate the step of inserting non-tapered portions of bristles into through holes of a head insert (10). Figures 23 and 24 show the step of thermally fusing the ends of the bristles protruding from the bottom of the head (10). Figure 27 show the head (10) with bristles being fixed to the head of the toothbrush (5). The reference discloses that the head insert can be attached to the head of the toothbrush using ultrasonic welding (col. 2, lines 45-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 6,290,302 ('302) in view of USP 5,176,427 ('427).

The '302 patent fails to disclose steps of cutting and grinding the tips of the bristles. The '427 patent discloses a process for manufacturing a bristle head comprising the steps of cutting the tips of the bristles (col. 6, lines 61-63) and grinding those tips (col. 7, lines 20-24). Also see figure 4d.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,913

..., Oondon Hambon. 10/02/,01

Art Unit: 1744

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to cut and grind the tips of the bristles in the '302 patent in view of the known practice as disclosed in the '427 patent.

Claims 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 6,290,302 ('302) and USP 5,176,427 ('427) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of USP 6,764,142 (Kwon).

The '302 and '427 patents do not disclose the bristle diameters claimed. The Kwon patent disclose a method of forming toothbrush bristles having a diameter of 0.02 (figure 5) and 0.06 mm (figure 6). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ the bristles disclosed in Kwon (or step of fabricating the bristles as disclosed in Kwon) into the method disclosed in the '302 patent in view of the known advantages disclosed in Kwon.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining US patents are considered to be generally related to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran-Piazza can be reached on 571-272-1224. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1744

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> David A Redding **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1744

DAR